

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,318	03/18/2004	Donna Sue Davis	2003B101A	5374
23455 7590 100882010 EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL COMPANY 5200 BAYWAY DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			PATTERSON, MARC A	
P.O. BOX 214 BAYTOWN	19 TX 77522-2149		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1782	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) DAVIS ET AL. 10/803,318 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MARC A. PATTERSON 1782 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF Edensions of sime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a), in after SK (6) MCNTIS from the making date of the communication. Failure to reply within the act or extended period for may will by statule, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than throe months after the making date of the earned partner therm adjustmens. See 37 CFR 1.70(4b).	THIS COMMUNICATION. o event, however, may a reply be timely filed nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Septemb	<u>er 2010</u> .
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action	is non-final.
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exc 	•
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 23,24 and 26-52 is/are pending in the applica	ation.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
 Claim(s) <u>23,24 and 26-52</u> is/are rejected. 	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	on requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted o	r b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is re-	quired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have I 	been received.
Certified copies of the priority documents have I	been received in Application No
Copies of the certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT)	Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the c	ertified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application.

"/ [Paper No(s)/Mail Date
	tent and Trademark Office
PTOL	326 (Rev. 08-06)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06)

6) Other: ___

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/803,318

Art Unit: 1782

DETAILED ACTION

WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

- The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 23, 29 30, 44 46 and 51 52 as being unpatentable over Garza et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,707,751) in view of Branbilla (U.S. Patent No. 5,916,692), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is withdrawn.
- 2. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 24, 26 28, 31 43 and 47 50 as being unpatentable over Garza et al (U. S. Patent No. 5,707,751) in view of Branbilla (U.S. Patent No. 5,916,692) and further in view of Lind et al (U. S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0003624), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is withdrawn.

NEW REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103(a)

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 23 24, 26 33, 37 47 and 51 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelch (U.S. Patent No. 5,000,992) in view of Lind et al (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0003624).

With regard to Claim 23, Kelch discloses a film comprising at least three layers (column 3, lines 44 – 45) comprising a core layer that is a foamed layer (middle; column 3, lines 51 – 55)

Application/Control Number: 10/803,318

Art Unit: 1782

comprising 60 - 90 wt.% LDPE and 40 - 10 wt.% HDPE (column 3, lines 33 - 40) and two skin layers (column 3, lines 51 - 55), comprising 85 - 95 wt.% LLDPE and 5 - 15 wt.% LLDPE (column 3, lines 9 - 14) that is mLLDPE (Ziegler catalysts; column 3, lines 50 - 55). Kelch fails to disclose an LLDPE that is a metallocene LLDPE.

Lind et al teach a film having LLDPE that is a metallocene LLDPE for the purpose of obtaining a film having increased strength (paragraph 0013). It therefore would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide for LLDPE that is a metallocene LLDPE in order to provide a film having increased strength as taught by Lind et al.

With regard to Claim 24, because Kelch discloses LLDPE, Kelch discloses an mPE prepared from ethylene and a C_3 to C_{12} alpha olefin monomer, and the polymer has a density of between about 0.910 g/cm³ to 0.940 g/cm³ and HDPE having a density of between 0.940 to 0.970 g/cm³.

With regard to Claims 26, 31 - 33, 37, 39, 43 and 47, the metallocene polyethylene disclosed by is linear low density polyethylene, as stated above.

With regard to Claims 27 and 40 - 41, Lind et al also disclose HDPE having a density of 0.960 to 0.965 g/cm³ (paragraph 0020).

With regard to Claims 28, 38 and 42, Lind et al disclose HDPE having a density of 0.925 to 0.935 g/cm (paragraph 0020).

With regard to Claims 29 - 30 and 44 - 46, when formed into a coextruded ABA structure having a thickness of less than 50 microns, the film would therefore have a 1% secant modulus MD of at least 500 mPa and 1% secant modulus TD of at least 600 mPa and a difference in Gloss 20 and 60 of 2% or less.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,318

Art Unit: 1782

With regard to Claims 51 – 52, slip and antiblock additives are not disclosed by Kelch; the claimed aspect of exclusion of slip and antiblock additives is therefore disclosed by Kelch.

 Claims 34 – 36 and 48 – 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelch (U.S. Patent No. 5,000,992) in view of Lind et al (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0003624) and further in view of Mermelstein (U.S. Patent No. 3,939,627).

Kelch and Lind et al disclose a film having a foamed layer as discussed above. The claimed aspect of the film being coextruded is directed to a process limitation and is therefore given little patentable weight. With regard to Claims 34 and 48, Kelch and Lind et al fail to disclose a film that is heat shrinkable.

Mermelstein teaches shrinkable film for a closure for the purpose of applying the closure to a container using a machine (column 2, lines 34 - 40).

It therefore would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided for a film that is shrinkable in Kelch and Lind in order to apply a closure comprising the film by machine as taught by Mermelstein.

With regard to Claims 35 and 49 - 50, Kelch and Lind et al therefore disclose the wrapping of a group of items wrapped by the film, comprising a container and its contents; Lind et al therefore disclose a collation shrink wrapped structure.

With regard to Claim 36, Kelch and Lind et al therefore disclose a process of making a packaged structure comprising the wrapping of a package and heating the wrapped package to shrink the film and applying a holding force. Application/Control Number: 10/803,318 Page 5

Art Unit: 1782

are directed to Claims 23 - 24 and 26 - 52.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

6. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 23, 29 - 30, 44 - 46 and 51 - 52 as being unpatentable over Garza et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,707,751) in view of Branbilla (U.S. Patent No. 5,916,692) and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 24, 26 - 28, 31 - 43 and 47 - 50 as being unpatentable over Garza et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,707,751) in view of Branbilla (U.S. Patent No. 5,916,692) and further in view of Lind et al (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0003624), of record in the previous Action, have been carefully considered and have been found to be persuasive. The rejections are therefore withdrawn. The new rejections above

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Marc A Patterson whose telephone number is 571-272-1497.
 The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,318 Page 6

Art Unit: 1782

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Marc A Patterson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1782